UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,877	09/14/2006	Bandi Parthasaradhi	H1089/20036	1711
	7590 10/07/200 ISE, BERNSTEIN,	EXAMINER		
COHEN & POR	KOTILOW, LTD.	BERCH, MARK L		
	11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET			PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212			1624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/598,877	PARTHASARADHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark L. Berch	1624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 J</u>	lulv 2009				
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 4-17, 19</u> is/are allowed.					
·	☐ Claim(s) <u>3,18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are objected to.					
-	· · · —	or election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acc	cepted or b)⊡ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Infor	et(s) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the r No(s)/Mail Date 09/14/2006;01/12/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/598,877 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. Claim 3 is ambiguous. It might be further narrowing the step (a) temperature, or it might refer to so other step, e.g. the hydrolysis reaction of step (c). Note claim 11 in this regard. The traverse is unpersuasive. Applicants argument is that since claim 2 limits the temperature of step (a), and claim 3 depends on claim 2, than claim 3 must be referring to step (a). This is not logical. One knows which step is limited in claim 2 because claim 2 states that it is step (a). Claim 3 fails to state which step it is.

 Applicants seem to feel that if claim 2 refers to step a, then claim 3 must be referring to step a. But there is no reason which claim 3 has to narrow claim 1 in the same way that claim 2 narrows claim 1. Claim 3 could just as well provide for temperature controls on both step a and some other step as well. Suggested is putting the "in step (a)" limitation into claim 3, to reflect applicants actual intention.
- 2. The intent of claim 20 is unclear. It appears to be identical to claim 18. Is the dependency wrong? The traverse is unpersuasive. It appears that applicants do not quite understand the scope of the claims, as they insist that claims 18 and 20 are different. Acid appears in both steps d and e. Claim 17 limits ONLY the acid of step d.

Art Unit: 1624

Claim 18 is stricter. It limits "the acid". Therefore, it limits both the acid of step d and the acid of step e). Applicants appear to be reading claim 18 as limiting only the acid of step d, but it has no such claim language. Claim 20 does the exact same thing. It limits both acids to aqueous HCl. This can be fixed by adding "in step (e)" to claim 20, and "in step (d)" to claim 18. Such an amendment would allow the claims to reflect applicants' actual intent, which at present they do not.

Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim as written does not limit claim 1, as claim 1 does not provide for cefixime trihydrate in the first place, only cefixime itself. The remarks are noted, in which applicants state that claim 1 is correct as written.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,877 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark L. Berch/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

10/6/2009